arXiv:1608.02935v1 [math.GN] 9 Aug 2016

Fixed point free homeomorphisms of the complex plane

Nikolaos E. Sofronidis*

Department of Economics, University of Ioannina, Ioannina 45110, Greece.
(nsofron@otenet.gr, nsofron@cc.uoi.gr)

Abstract

Our purpose in this article is to prove that the group $H(\mathbf{C})$ of homeomorphisms of the complex plane $\mathbf{C}$ is a metric group equipped with the metric induced by uniform convergence of homeomorphisms and their inverses on compacts and the set

$$\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : (\forall z \in \mathbf{C})(h(z) \neq z)\}$$

of fixed point free homeomorphisms of the complex plane is a conjugacy invariant dense G_δ subset of $H(\mathbf{C})$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 03E15, 37E30, 57S05.

1. Definition. Following page 808 of [4], if

$$d_u(f,g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \frac{\sup\limits_{|z|\leq n} |f(z) - g(z)|}{1 + \sup\limits_{|z|\leq n} |f(z) - g(z)|}$$

whenever f, g are continuous functions $\mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$, then following 8) on page 60 of [2], it is not difficult to verify that if $d(f,g) = d_u(f,g) + d_u\left(f^{-1}, g^{-1}\right)$,

*$A\Sigma MA$: 130/2543/94

whenever f, g are homeomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}$, then the group $H(\mathbf{C})$ of homeomorphisms of $\mathbf{C}$ equipped with the metric d becomes a metric space and convergence in d is equivalent to uniform convergence of homeomorphisms and their inverses on compacts, so that for any compact subset K of $\mathbf{C}$ and for any open subset O of $\mathbf{C}$, the set $\{f \in H(\mathbf{C}) : f[K] \subseteq O\}$ is open in $H(\mathbf{C})$ equipped with d, as it follows from Problem 8 b. on page 193 of [3].

2. Definition. If X is any compact Polish space, then we denote by $K(X)$ the compact Polish space of compact subsets of X equipped with the Hausdorff metric and for any sequence $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ of compact subsets of X, we denote by $\liminf_{n\to\infty} K_n$ the topological lower limit of $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ and we denote by $\limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n$ the topological upper limit of $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$, while if these two limits coincide, then we call them the topological limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} K_n$ of $(K_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$, which is equivalent to convergence in $K(X)$ with respect to the Hausdorff metric on it. See, for example, Section 4.F on pages 24-28 of [2].

3. Lemma. If $h_n \to h$ in $H(\mathbf{C})$ as $n \to \infty$, then for any $K \in K(\mathbf{C})$, we have that $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n[K] = h[K]$ in $K(\mathbf{C})$.

Proof. If $p \in K$, then evidently $h(p) = \lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(p) \in \liminf_{n\to\infty} h_n[K]$ and consequently $h[K] \subseteq \liminf_{n\to\infty} h_n[K]$. So let $q \in \limsup_{n\to\infty} h_n[K]$ be arbitrary but fixed. For any $k \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists an integer $n_k > n_{k-1}$, where $n_{-1} = -1$, such that $h_{n_k}[K] \cap D\left(q; 2^{-k}\right) \neq \emptyset$ and hence there exists $p_k \in K$ for which $h_{n_k}(p_k) \in D\left(q; 2^{-k}\right)$. The compactness of K implies that there exists a subsequence $\left(p_{k_j}\right)_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ of $(p_k)_{k\in\mathbf{N}}$ which converges to some point $p \in K$. Hence, since $(h_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ converges to h uniformly on each compact subset of $\mathbf{C}$, by virtue of Exercise 40 e. on page 162 of [3], it follows that $\left(h_{n_{k_j}}\right)_{j\in\mathbf{N}}$ converges continuously to h and consequently $q = \lim_{j\to\infty} h_{n_{k_j}}\left(p_{k_j}\right) = h(p) \in h[K]$. Therefore, we have that $\limsup_{n\to\infty} h_n[K] \subseteq h[K]$ and consequently $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n[K] = h[K]$, thus the claim follows. $\triangle$

4. Lemma. If $g_n \to g$ and $h_n \to h$ in $H(\mathbf{C})$ as $n \to \infty$, then $(g_n \circ h_n) \to (g \circ h)$ in $H(\mathbf{C})$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof. Let K be any non-empty compact subset of $\mathbf{C}$. Then $\overline{B}(h[K];1)$ is compact in $\mathbf{C}$. Indeed, the compactness of $h[K]$, as it follows from Proposition 24 of [3], implies that there exist q_1, ..., q_k in $h[K]$, where k is a positive integer, for which $h[K] \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{k} D(q_j;1)$ and let $p \in \overline{B}(h[K];1)$ be arbitrary but fixed. Then the compactness of $h[K]$ implies that there exists $q \in h[K]$ such that $|p-q| = \inf\limits_{z\in h[K]} |p-z| \leq 1$ and hence there exists $j \in \{1,...,k\}$ for which $q \in D(q_j;1)$, therefore $|p-q_j| \leq |p-q| + |q-q_j| \leq 1+1 = 2$, i.e., $p \in \overline{D}(q_j;2)$. We have thus proved that $\overline{B}(h[K];1) \subseteq \bigcup\limits_{j=1}^{k} \overline{D}(q_j;2)$ and the claim follows from the fact that the $\overline{D}(q_j;2)$'s are compact. See, for example, Proposition 22 on page 156 of [3]. By virtue of Lemma 3 and Section 4.F on pages 24-28 of [2], it follows that there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that for any integer $n \geq N$, we have that $h_n[K] \subseteq B(h[K];1)$. Therefore, given any integer $n \geq N$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{p\in K} |(g_n \circ h_n)(p) - (g\circ h)(p)| \\
&\leq \sup_{p\in K} |g_n(h_n(p)) - g(h_n(p))| + \sup_{p\in K} |g(h_n(p)) - g(h(p))| \\
&\leq \sup_{q\in \overline{B}(h[K];1)} |g_n(q) - g(q)| + \sup_{p\in K} d_g(h_n(p), h(p)),
\end{aligned}$$

where $d_g(p,q) = |g(p) - g(q)|$, whenever p, q range over $\mathbf{C}$, also constitutes a complete compatible metric on $\mathbf{C}$, so $(g_n \circ h_n)_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ converges uniformly to $(g\circ h)$ on compacts. An analogous argument shows that $(h_n^{-1} \circ g_n^{-1})_{n\in\mathbf{N}}$ converges uniformly to $(h^{-1}\circ g^{-1})$ on compacts, so the claim follows. $\triangle$

5. Corollary. $H(\mathbf{C})$ equipped with the metric d is a metric group.

6. Definition. If $u(z) = \frac{z}{1-|z|}$, whenever $z \in D(0;1)$, then it is not difficult to verify that u is a homeomorphism $D(0;1) \to \mathbf{C}$ whose inverse is defined by the relation $u^{-1}(w) = \frac{w}{1+|w|}$, whenever $w \in \mathbf{C}$, so if $H(D(0;1))$ is the group of homeomorphisms of $D(0;1)$, then $H(D(0;1)) \ni \psi \mapsto (u\circ\psi\circ u^{-1}) \in H(\mathbf{C})$ is a group isomorphism via which the metric group $H(\mathbf{C})$ makes $H(D(0;1))$ also a metric group in which convergence is also uniform convergence on

compacts.

7. Definition. If F is any non-empty subset of $\mathbf{C}$, then we call F a closed 2-cell in $\mathbf{C}$, if there exist a closed disk $\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)$ contained in $D(0;1)$ and a homeomorphism k of $D(0;1)$ onto an open subset U of $\mathbf{C}$ for which $F = k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)\right]$. In addition, if $h \in H(\mathbf{C})$, then we set

$$\mathbf{C} \setminus supp(h) = \bigcup_{O \in \mathbf{\Sigma}_1^0(\mathbf{C})} \{z \in O : h(z) = z\}$$

where $\mathbf{\Sigma}_1^0(\mathbf{C})$ is the set of open subsets of $\mathbf{C}$. See, for example, 11.B on page 68 of [2].

8. Theorem. If F is any closed 2-cell in $\mathbf{C}$, then $\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : supp(h) \subseteq F\}$ is closed nowhere dense in $H(\mathbf{C})$.

Proof. Let $\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)$ be the closed disk contained in $D(0;1)$ and let k be the homeomorphism of $D(0;1)$ onto the open subset U of $\mathbf{C}$ for which $F = k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)\right]$. We will first prove that $\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : supp(h) \subseteq F\}$ is closed in $H(\mathbf{C})$. Let $\eta > 0$ be such that $\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\eta) \subseteq D(0;1)$. Then, evidently

$$\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : supp(h) \subseteq F\}$$

$$= \bigcap_{0<\delta\leq\eta} \{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : supp(h) \subseteq k\left[D(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right]\}.$$

Hence, if $h_n \to h$ in $H(\mathbf{C})$ as $n \to \infty$ and for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have that $supp\,(h_n) \subseteq F$, then for any $\delta \in (0,\eta]$ and for any $n \in \mathbf{N}$, we have that $h_n = id$ in $\mathbf{C} \setminus k\left[D(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right]$, which is obviously closed in $\mathbf{C}$, and consequently $h = id$ in $\mathbf{C} \setminus k\left[D(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right]$, whenever $\delta \in (0,\eta]$, which is easily seen to imply that $h = id$ in F and the claim follows. Thus, given any $h \in H(\mathbf{C})$ for which $supp(h) \subseteq F$, what is left to show is that h is not an interior point of

$\{g \in H(\mathbf{C}) : supp(g) \subseteq F\}$. Given any $\delta \in [0,\eta]$, we set

$$\psi_\delta\left(\alpha + re^{i\theta}\right) = \begin{cases} \alpha + \frac{\rho+\delta}{\rho} re^{i\theta} & \text{if } 0 \leq r \leq \rho \\ & \text{and } 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \\ \alpha + \left(\frac{1}{2}(r-\rho) + \rho + \delta\right) e^{i\theta} & \text{if } \rho \leq r \leq \rho + 2\delta \\ & \text{and } 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi \\ \alpha + re^{i\theta} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

whenever $r \geq 0$ and $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$ are such that $\left(\alpha + re^{i\theta}\right) \in D(0;1)$. It is not difficult to see that $\psi_\delta : D(0;1) \to D(0;1)$ constitutes a homeomorphism which expands radially $\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)$ to $\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+\delta)$ and shrinks radially $\left(\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta) \setminus D(\alpha;\rho)\right)$ to $\left(\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta) \setminus D(\alpha;\rho+\delta)\right)$, while $\psi_\delta = id$ in $\left(D(0;1) \setminus \overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right)$. Moreover, it is not difficult to verify that for any ϵ, δ in $[0,\eta]$ and for any $z \in D(0;1)$, we have that $|\psi_\epsilon(z) - \psi_\delta(z)| \leq |\epsilon - \delta|$, so $\sup\limits_{z \in D(0;1)} |\psi_\epsilon(z) - \psi_\delta(z)| \leq |\epsilon - \delta|$. It is not difficult to see that $\psi_\delta^{-1} : D(0;1) \to D(0;1)$ constitutes a homeomorphism which shrinks radially $\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+\delta)$ to $\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)$ and expands radially $\left(\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta) \setminus D(\alpha;\rho+\delta)\right)$ to $\left(\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta) \setminus D(\alpha;\rho)\right)$, while $\psi_\delta^{-1} = id$ in $\left(D(0;1) \setminus \overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right)$, which implies that $\left|\psi_\epsilon^{-1}(z) - \psi_\delta^{-1}(z)\right| \leq |\epsilon - \delta|$, so $\sup\limits_{z \in D(0;1)} \left|\psi_\epsilon^{-1}(z) - \psi_\delta^{-1}(z)\right| \leq |\epsilon - \delta|$. Therefore, the mapping $[0,\eta] \ni \delta \mapsto \psi_\delta \in H(D(0;1))$ is continuous. Given any $\delta \in [0,\eta]$, let $h_\delta : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ be defined by the relation

$$h_\delta(p) = \begin{cases} \left(k \circ \psi_\delta \circ k^{-1}\right)(p) & \text{if } p \in k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right] \\ p & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

whenever $p \in \mathbf{C}$. Then, it is not difficult to see that $h_\delta : \mathbf{C} \to \mathbf{C}$ constitutes a homeomorphism which expands $k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)\right]$ to $k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+\delta)\right]$ and shrinks $\left(k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right] \setminus k\left[D(\alpha;\rho)\right]\right)$ to $\left(k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right] \setminus k\left[D(\alpha;\rho+\delta)\right]\right)$, while $h_\delta = id$ in $\left(\mathbf{C} \setminus k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+2\delta)\right]\right)$. Moreover, the continuity of the mapping $[0,\eta] \ni \delta \mapsto \psi_\delta \in H(D(0;1))$ is easily seen to imply that $[0,\eta] \ni \delta \mapsto h_\delta \in$

$H(\mathbf{C})$ is continuous and hence so is $[0,\eta] \ni \delta \mapsto (h_\delta \circ h) \in H(\mathbf{C})$, as it follows from Lemma 4. Thus, the claim follows from the fact that $h_0 = id$ and for any $\delta \in (0,\eta]$, we have that $supp\,(h_\delta \circ h)$ is not a subset of $k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)\right]$, since $supp(h) \subseteq k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)\right]$ and h_δ expands $k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho)\right]$ to $k\left[\overline{D}(\alpha;\rho+\delta)\right]$. $\triangle$

9. Lemma. For any $c \in \mathbf{C}$, we have that $\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : h(c) = c\}$ is closed nowhere dense in $H(\mathbf{C})$.

Proof. It is not difficult to see that $\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : h(c) = c\}$ is closed in $H(\mathbf{C})$ and let $g \in \{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : h(c) = c\}$. If we set $\tau_a(z) = z + a$, whenever z, a range in $\mathbf{C}$, then since for any a, b in $\mathbf{C}$, we have that $\sup\limits_{z\in\mathbf{C}} |\tau_a(z) - \tau_b(z)| = |a-b|$, it follows immediately that $\mathbf{C} \ni a \mapsto \tau_a \in H(\mathbf{C})$ constitutes a continuous and injective homomorphism between the corresponding metric groups and consequently the mapping $\mathbf{C} \ni a \mapsto (\tau_a \circ g) \in H(\mathbf{C})$ is continuous, as it follows from Lemma 4, which implies that $(\tau_a \circ g) \to g$ in $H(\mathbf{C})$ as $a \to 0$ in $\mathbf{C}$. Thus, the claim follows from the fact that for any $a \in (\mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\})$, we have that $(\tau_a \circ g)(c) = c + a \neq c$. $\triangle$

10. Theorem. $\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : (\forall z \in \mathbf{C})(h(z) \neq z)\}$ constitutes a conjugacy invariant dense G_δ subset of $H(\mathbf{C})$.

Proof. If C is any countable dense subset of $\mathbf{C}$, then by virtue of Lemma 9, it is enough to show that

$$\{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : (\forall z \in \mathbf{C})(h(z) \neq z)\} = \bigcap_{c\in C} \{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : h(c) \neq c\}$$

or (equivalently) that

$$\bigcap_{c\in C} \{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : h(c) \neq c\} \subseteq \{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : (\forall z \in \mathbf{C})(h(z) \neq z)\}$$

Indeed, if $g \in \bigcap\limits_{c\in C} \{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : h(c) \neq c\}$, then it is not difficult to prove that for any $c \in C$, there exists $\epsilon_c > 0$ such that $g\left[D\left(c;\epsilon_c\right)\right] \cap D\left(c;\epsilon_c\right) = \emptyset$ and since $\bigcup\limits_{c\in C} D\left(c;\epsilon_c\right) = \mathbf{C}$, it follows immediately that

$$g \in \{h \in H(\mathbf{C}) : (\forall z \in \mathbf{C})(h(z) \neq z)\}$$

$\triangle$

11. Remark. The reader is referred to [1] and its references for other results regarding fixed point free homeomorphisms of the complex plane.

References

[1] B. L. Brechner and R. D. Mauldin, Homeomorphisms of the plane, Pacific Journal of Mathematics, Volume 59, Number 2, 1975, pp. 375-381.

[2] A. S. Kechris, Classical descriptive set theory, Springer, New York, 1995.

[3] H. L. Royden, Real analysis, Third Edition, McMillan Publishing Company, New York, 1988.

[4] N. E. Sofronidis, Turbulence phenomena in real analysis, Archive for Mathematical Logic, Volume 44 (2005), pp. 801-815.